

DELIVERABLE EVALUATION

| Work package | WP5 - Quality assurance and monitoring | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Activity: 5.5 - Inter-project coaching | | | | |
| Deliverable Name | Report on the inter-project coaching meeting | | | | |
| DateofReview | 27.07.2021. | | | | |
| Reviewer's Name and Organization | Susann Andersen, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, NMBU | | | | |

Format of deliverable

| | Yes | No | Comment |
|---|-----|----|---------|
| Does the document meet the commitments from Application Form? | | | |
| Does the document contain: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date? | | | |
| Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the Erasmus+ program? | | | |
| Does the document include a Table of Contents? | | | |
| Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template? | | | |
| Does the spelling, grammar etc. of the document is appropriate? | | | |

Comment:





Contents of deliverable

| Grading | Very Poor | Poor | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
|---|--------------|------|------|--------------|-----------|
| Clarity of the contents of the document | | | | | 5 |
| How does the content of the document match the description in the Application Form? | | | | | 5 |
| How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth? | | | | | 5 |

Comment:

| Conclusion | | | | | | |
|--|-----|----|---------|--|--|--|
| | Yes | No | Comment | | | |
| Document accepted, no changes required | Х | | | | | |
| Document accepted but changes required | | | | | | |
| Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented | | | | | | |

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.